

More Reeb Graphs

Lecture 17 - CMSE 890

Prof. Elizabeth Munch

Michigan State University

::

Dept of Computational Mathematics, Science & Engineering

Thurs, Nov 6, 2025

Check-in


Goals for today:

- 7.1 More Reeb Graph Definitions
- 7.3 Reeb Graph Metrics

Section 1

Reeb graphs

Reeb graph definition




Given a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Define an equivalence relation \sim by

$x \sim y$ iff

- $f(x) = f(y) = \alpha$
- x and y are in the same connected component of the level set $f^{-1}(\alpha)$.
- Let $[x]$ denote the equivalence class of $x \in X$.
- The Reeb graph R_f of $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the quotient space X / \sim .
- Let $\Phi : X \rightarrow R_f, x \rightarrow [x]$ be the quotient map.

Induced map $\tilde{f} : R_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$



$$\bar{f}([x]) = f(x)$$

$$f([y]) = f(x)$$

Lazy notation: Just write $f : R_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

What I mean by a Reeb graph....


Discrete vs continuous viewpoints

Quotient Space X/\sim with
function $\bar{f} : [a] \mapsto f(a)$

- continuous object
- 1-stratified space
- geometric graph
- topological graph

Graph (V, E) with function
 $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$


- For any edge uv , $f(u) \neq f(v)$



Up and down degree

Given a node $x \in V$ in the vertex set $V := V(R_f)$ of the Reeb graph R_f ,


- up-degree of x is the number of edges (xu) incident to x with $f(u) > f(x)$
- down-degree of x is the number of edges (xu) incident to x with $f(u) < f(x)$



Regular vs critical node

A node is

- regular if both of its up-degree and down-degree equal to 1
- critical otherwise.




or



Types of critical points


A critical point is a

- a minimum if it has down-degree 0
- a maximum if it has up-degree 0
- a down-fork if it has down-degree larger than 1
- an up-fork if it has up-degree larger than 1



Warning: a critical point can be degenerate, i.e. have more than one type of criticality

Comparing the sublevelset persistence diagrams



Relationship between Betti numbers


Theorem

For a tame function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_0(X) = \beta_0(R_f)$ and $\beta_1(X) \geq \beta_1(R_f)$.

A note on contractible X

↳ homotopy eq. to pt

$$\beta_0 = \mathbb{1} \quad \beta_i = 0$$



$$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Contractible



$$\beta_1(X) = 0$$




$$\beta_1(\mathbb{R}_f) = 0$$

"Contour tree"



A Reeb graph is dependent on the function



What if X is a 2-manifold

Theorem

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a connected, compact 2-manifold.

- If X is orientable, $\beta_1(R_f) = \beta_1(X)/2$
- If X is not orientable, $\beta_1(R_f) \leq \beta_1(X)/2$

Note that this is independent of the function!

Section 2

Reeb Graph Metrics

Distances Between Reeb Graphs

Definition

A metric on a set M is a function $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ and
 $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

Reeb graph metrics



(G, f)

Notation $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Goal

Create and study Reeb graph metrics:

- $d((G, f), (G', f')) = 0$ iff $G \cong G'$ and $f = f'$



Reeb graph metrics

Metrics for Reeb graphs

d_I interleaving

d_{FD} functional distortion

d_G edit

d_B bottleneck




Figure inspired by U. Bauer's talk, SoCG 2020;
 Drawn by Brian Bollen, arXiv:2110.05631

Section 3

Bottleneck distance

Extended Persistence


Represent your Reeb graph as its extended persistence diagram
Compute (label preserving) bottleneck distance

$$d_B(R_f, R_g) := d_B(Dgm(R_f), Dgm(R_g))$$

$$d(\phi, \phi')$$


$$\Downarrow$$

$$d(\leftarrow, \leftarrow')$$



Not a perfect representation

Two Reeb graphs with the same extended persistence diagram



Pros & Cons

Pros

Computable!

Cons


dist can be zero
for different
input objects

Section 4

Interleaving Distance

Smoothing Reeb Graphs: S_ε

Definition by example



- Given Reeb graph (G, f)


- Thicken:

$$f_\varepsilon : G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(x, t) \longmapsto f(x) + t$$

- Take Reeb graph of $(G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon)$

Smoothing Reeb Graphs: S_ε

Definition by example



- Given Reeb graph (G, f)


- Thicken:

$$f_\varepsilon : G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(x, t) \longmapsto f(x) + t$$

- Take Reeb graph of $(G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon)$

Smoothing Reeb Graphs: S_ε

Definition by example



- Given Reeb graph (G, f)


- Thicken:

$$f_\varepsilon : G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(x, t) \longmapsto f(x) + t$$

- Take Reeb graph of $(G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon)$

Smoothing Reeb Graphs: S_ε

Definition by example




- Given Reeb graph (G, f)
- Thicken:

$$f_\varepsilon : G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(x, t) \longmapsto f(x) + t$$

- Take Reeb graph of $(G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon)$

More Smoothing Examples



Smoothing definition

Definition

The ε -smoothed Reeb graph of (G, f) is the Reeb graph of $(G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon)$


$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (G \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], f_\varepsilon) & \\ (\text{Id}_G, 0) \nearrow & & \searrow q \\ (G, f) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S_\varepsilon(G, f) \end{array}$$

Function Preserving Maps


Definition

A morphism $\varphi : (G, f) \rightarrow (H, g)$ is a map $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ which is function preserving ($f = g \circ \varphi$).

$$f(x) = g \circ \varphi(x)$$



Smoothings Automatically Come With Morphisms



Reeb-interleaving

Definition

Let $(G, f), (H, g)$ be given.

An ε -interleaving consists of two morphisms

$$\varphi: (G, f) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(H, g); \quad \psi: (H, g) \rightarrow S_\varepsilon(G, f)$$


such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (G, f) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & S_\varepsilon(G, f) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & S_{2\varepsilon}(G, f) \\ & \searrow \varphi & \nearrow \psi & \searrow S_\varepsilon[\varphi] & \nearrow S_\varepsilon[\psi] \\ (H, g) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & S_\varepsilon(H, g) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & S_{2\varepsilon}(H, g) \end{array}$$


commutes. The interleaving distance is defined to be

$$d_I((G, f), (H, g)) = \inf\{\varepsilon \mid (G, f) \text{ and } (H, g) \text{ are } \varepsilon\text{-interleaved}\}.$$


Building an interleaving




Building an interleaving



Building an interleaving



Building an interleaving



Theorem (de Silva, EM, Patel 2016)

can be ∞

The interleaving distance is an **extended metric**.

$$d_I((G, f), (H, g)) < \infty$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\beta_0(G) = \beta_0(H)$$

Theorem (de Silva, EM, Patel 2016)

The interleaving distance is an **extended metric**.

$$\begin{aligned}d_I((G, f), (H, g)) < \infty \\ \Leftrightarrow \\ \beta_0(G) = \beta_0(H)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (dS, EM, P 2016)

Given $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the interleaving distance is **stable**, i.e.

$$d_I(\mathcal{R}(X, f), \mathcal{R}(X, g)) \leq \|f - g\|_\infty.$$

$f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\|f - g\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

Pros & Cons

Pros

Mathematically
Nice

Cons

Graph Iso - hard
to compute

$$(d_{\pm}((G, f), (H, g)) = 0$$

!shika!

Bound for the
interleaving distance

$$d(G, H) \leq N$$

Section 5

Functional Distortion Distance

Distance in the Reeb graph

Definition

Let $u, v \in \mathcal{R}_f$ (not necessarily vertices) and let π be a continuous path between u and v , denoted $u \rightsquigarrow v$.


$\text{range}(\pi) = [\min_{x \in \pi} f(x), \max_{x \in \pi} f(x)]$.

$\text{height}(\pi) = \max_{x \in \pi} f(x) - \min_{x \in \pi} f(x)$

Distance between u and v :


$$d_f(u, v) = \min_{\pi: u \rightsquigarrow v} \text{height}(\pi),$$

where π ranges over all continuous paths from u to v .



Continuous maps

$\Phi : \mathcal{R}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_g$, $\Psi : \mathcal{R}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_g$ continuous maps (not necessarily function preserving).




$$G(\Phi, \Psi) = \{(x, \Phi(x)) \mid x \in \mathcal{R}_f\} \cup \{(\Psi(y), y) \mid y \in \mathcal{R}_g\}$$

Definition

The **point distortion** λ between $(x, y), (x', y') \in G(\Phi, \Psi)$ is defined as


$$\lambda((x, y), (x', y')) = \frac{1}{2} |d_f(x, x') - d_g(y, y')|.$$



Definition

The **map distortion** $D(\Phi, \Psi)$ between \mathcal{R}_f and \mathcal{R}_g is the supremum of point distortions ranging over all possible pairs in the supergraph $G(\Phi, \Psi)$. That is,

$$D(\Phi, \Psi) = \sup_{(x,y),(x',y') \in G(\Phi,\Psi)} \lambda((x,y), (x',y')).$$



Definition

The **functional distortion distance** is defined as

$$d_{FD}(\mathcal{R}_f, \mathcal{R}_g) = \inf_{\Phi, \Psi} \max\{D(\Phi, \Psi), \|f - g \circ \Phi\|_{\infty}, \|f \circ \Psi - g\|_{\infty}\},$$

where Φ and Ψ range over all continuous maps between \mathcal{R}_f and \mathcal{R}_g .

Pros & Cons

Metrics for Reeb graphs

- d_I interleaving
- d_{FD} functional distortion
- d_G edit
- d_B bottleneck




Figure inspired by U. Bauer's talk, SoCG 2020;
 Drawn by Brian Bollen, arXiv:2110.05631